## SE PROJECT PROTOTYPE MARKING GUIDE

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Criterion | Perfect  100% | Outstanding  90% | Excellent  75% | Very Good  65% | Good  55% | Acceptable  40% | Insufficient  30% | Missing  0% | Total |
| Look and Feel of System  5pts | 5pts  Perfectly structured and appealing look and feel of the system[2]. Use of external packages to change the default look and feel or themes. [2]Buttons and text fields are well positioned[1] | 4.5pts  Outstanding system look and feel. Use of external packages to change the default look and feel or theme of the default GUI components. | 4.0pts  Excellent arrangement of components, look and feel is appealing. Use of external packages to change the default look and feel of GUI components | 3.5pts  Very good structure of the system look and feel. Use of external packages to change the default look and feel of GUI components attempted, but with very little success | 2.5pts  Good structure of the system look and feel. Use of external packages to change the default look and feel of GUI components attempted, but with very little success | 2pts  Default backgrounds of the application interfaces changed. The application is themed but not using external or third party packages but it appears professional. | 1.5pts  Unprofessionally structured system. Not much effort made in changing the look and feel. The effort made is not satisfactory | 0pts  Very badly structured system. System does not look presentable. | 5 |
| Requirements Traceability within System  5pts | 5pts  Perfect system, which addresses all the primary requirements[2] and a few if not all secondary requirement.[2] Requirements from catalogue are present and clear on the system as functionality[1] | 4.5pts  An outstanding system, which addresses primary requirements clearly. Requirements traceability is clear but not well explained | 4.0pts  An excellent system, with primary requirements and atleast one secondary requirements. Requirements are traceable to the requirements catalogue | 3.5pts  A very good system, with all the primary requirements. No secondary requirements present. Some requirements are not traceable back to the requirements catalogue | 2.5pts  Good system, functionality cannot be clearly traced back to the requirements, but it attempts to do that. | 2pts  Acceptable system with few requirements implemented as functionality. | 1.5pts  Too few functionality, lots of requirements are missing. Primary requirements are not implemented here | 0pts  Stated requirements are not present in the system. System is too shallow | 5 |
| Reports generated/ Data display  10pts | 10pts  A very perfect reporting facility has been used. [2]All the outlined reports are being produced.[5] Data from the database is being presented perfectly using table or data grids.[2] Graphs showing data comparisons are in use.[1] | 9pts  A very outstanding reporting facility has been used or provided. All the outlined reports are being produced. Data grids are being used for display of reports. Graphs showing data comparisons are in use | 7.5pts  An excellent reporting facility has been used or provided. All the outlined reports are being produced. Data grids are being used for display of reports. Graphs showing data comparisons are in use | 6.5pts  A very good reporting facility has been used or provided. All the outlined reports are being produced; the reports produced have some flaws. Data grids are being used for display of reports. Graphs showing data comparisons are in use | 5.5pts  Certain reports are being produced with excellence. Good reporting structure that uses data grids and graphs | 4pts  Acceptable reports are being produced. Formatting and structure may not be as professional, data being represented is not complete. Data grids and graphs are being used. | 3pts  Data grids and graphs are missing but some reports are being produced using the basic approach. | 0pts  No reports or system data to display | 10 |
| Informative prompts or messages upon incorrect data entry  5pts | 5pts  Perfect informative messages upon incorrect data entry.[2] Data validation made.[3] | 4.5pts  Outstanding informative messages upon incorrect data entry. Data validation made | 4.0pts  Excellent informative message upon incorrect data entry. Data validation made | 3.5pts  Very good informative messages upon incorrect data entry. Data validation done, but not extensively | 2.5pts  Good informative messages upon incorrect data entry. Data validation is done, but not extensively | 2pts  Messages from the system are acceptable. Data validation is not as extensive nor is it satisfactory | 1.5pts  Message given by the system are too shallow nor is the validation level acceptable. | 0pts  Missing or not appropriate for system | 5 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 25 |